Long-Term Safety and Efficacy of Bimekizumab in Patients with Active Ankylosing Spondylitis: 5-Year Results from a Phase 2b Study and its Open-Label Extension

Objective

To report the long-term safety and efficacy of bimekizumab (BKZ) in patients with active ankylosing spondylitis (AS) up to 5 years of treatment in the phase 2b study BE AGILE and its open-label extension (OLE).

Introduction

- BKZ is a monoclonal IgG1 antibody that selectively inhibits interleukin (IL)-17F in addition to IL-17A.^{1,2}
- BKZ has previously demonstrated clinical efficacy and safety up to 3 years in patients with active AS (i.e., radiographic axial spondyloarthritis)³ in the phase 2b study BE AGILE and its OLE.^{1,2}

Methods

- As previously reported,^{1,2} the dose-ranging BE AGILE study (NCT02963506) consisted of a 12-week double-blind, placebo-controlled period, then a dose-blind period to Week 48 where patients received subcutaneous BKZ 160 or 320 mg every 4 weeks (Q4W). Patients completing Week 48 were eligible to enter the OLE (NCT03355573) where all patients received BKZ 160 mg Q4W to Week 256.
- Treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs; MedDRA v19.0) are reported for BKZ exposure from Week 0–256. Efficacy is reported from Week 0–256; unless stated otherwise, results are reported for the dose-blind set (DBS; patients who started the dose-blind period at Week 12 and received ≥ 1 dose of BKZ during the dose-blind period, including the dose at Week 12). Analyses used non-responder imputation (NRI; patients who did not enter the OLE were considered non-responders from Week 48), observed cases (OC), or multiple imputation (MI).

Results

Patients

• Of 255/303 (84.2%) patients who entered the OLE at Week 48, and received \geq 1 BKZ dose, 202/255 (79.2%) completed to Week 256 (66.7% of patients initially randomized).

Safety

- From Week 0–256, exposure-adjusted incidence rates (EAIRs) per 100 patient-years (PY) were 134.6 for any TEAE and 5.2 for serious TEAEs (Table 1).
- The most frequent TEAEs by preferred term included nasopharyngitis (21.8%), upper respiratory tract infection (14.5%), bronchitis (13.2%), and pharyngitis (10.6%).
- The EAIR of *Candida* infections over 256 weeks was low (2.6). All *Candida* infections were mild or moderate and the majority were oral. One oral candidiasis event led to discontinuation. No systemic fungal infections were reported.
- Over 256 weeks, EAIRs of serious infections and infestations (1.4), injection site reactions (0.4), hepatic enzymes and function abnormalities (0.2) and serious hypersensitivity reactions (0) remained low.
- EAIRs of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD; 0.8) and uveitis (0.7) were also low.

Efficacy

- Using conservative NRI, 51.7% (153/296) of the DBS (N=296) achieved ASAS40, while 49.3% (146/296) achieved ASDAS low disease activity (LDA; <2.1) at Week 48. At Week 256, 49.7% (147/296) and 41.6% (123/296) of patients achieved these endpoints, respectively (NRI; Figure 1). Of the patients with an assessment at Week 256, 73.1% (147/201) and 71.1% (123/173) achieved these endpoints at Week 256, respectively (OC; Figure 1).
- Improvements in disease activity from baseline to Week 48 were sustained (Figure 2A) or further improved (Figure 2B) to Week 256 (MI), notably in mean ASDAS (baseline: 3.9; Week 48: 2.1; Week 256: 2.1) and BASDAI (baseline: 6.5; Week 48: 3.0; Week 256: 2.5) values.
- Mean BASFI (baseline: 5.7; Week 48: 3.1; Week 256: 2.7) and total spinal pain (baseline: 7.1; Week 48: 3.2; Week 256: 2.7) improvements from baseline to Week 48 were sustained to Week 256 (MI; **Figure 3**).
- A similar trend was also observed for SF-36 PCS (baseline: 32.3; Week 48; 44.9; Week 256; 45.8) and ASQoL (baseline: 8.7; Week 48: 3.7; Week 256: 3.0), respectively (MI; Figure 4).

Conclusions

The long-term safety profile of bimekizumab in patients with AS was consistent with previous observations, showing that it is well tolerated. No new safety signals were identified after 5 years of exposure and rates of uveitis remained low.

Clinical efficacy outcomes reported using NRI, MI, and OC, including improvements in signs and symptoms, disease activity, physical function, and health-related quality of life, were sustained up to 5 years of bimekizumab treatment.

Summary





TEAEsª n (%) [EAIR/100 PY]	BE AGILE and OLE Weeks 0–256 Total (N=303; exposure 1,231 PY)	
		Any TEAE
Severe TEAEs	37 (12.2) [3.2]	
Study discontinuations due to TEAEs	43 (14.2) [3.5]	
Drug-related TEAEs	160 (52.8) [21.8]	
Serious TEAEs	58 (19.1) [5.2]	
Deaths	3 (1.0) [0.2] ^b	
Safety topics of interest		
Fungal infections ^c	74 (24.4) [7.4]	
Candida infections by preferred term ^d	30 (9.9) [2.6]	
Oral candidiasis	25 (8.3) [2.2]	
Skin <i>Candida</i>	4 (1.3) [0.3]	
Vulvovaginal candidiasis	2 (0.7) [0.2]	
Candida infection	1 (0.3) [0.1]	
Oropharyngeal candidiasis	1 (0.3) [0.1]	
Serious infections and infestations	17 (5.6) [1.4]	
Neutropenia	4 (1.3) [0.3]	
Adjudicated SIB	1 (0.3) [0.1] ^e	
Injection site reactions	5 (1.7) [0.4]	
Definite and probable IBD ^f	10 (3.3) [0.8] ^g	
With prior history	2 (0.7) ^h	
Without prior history	8 (2.6) ^h	
Uveitis ^{i,j}	9 (3.0) [0.7] ^k	
With prior history	3 (1.0) ^h	
Without prior history	6 (2.0) ^h	

Safety set. TEAEs occurring on placebo treatment are not included. Drug-related TEAEs are reported as assessed by the investigator. Neutropenia is reported as TEAEs. [a] Defined according to MedDRA v19.0. [b] There was one death in BE AGILE (Week 0–48; cardiac arrest) and two in the OLE (Week 48–256; cardiac arrest) road traffic accident). None were considered treatment related. [c] Other than Candida infections, fungal infections included Tinea and not elsewhere classified infections, and were localized to the skin, scalp, ear, mouth, tongue, nails, vulva, and feet; none were systemic. [d] All Candida infections were mild or moderate, none were systemic. [e] No deaths resulted from adjudicated SIB. [f] Includes the preferred terms Crohn's disease, colitis ulcerative, and colitis. [g] In the safety set, four patients had IBD TEAEs in BE AGILE (Week 0–48). [h] Proportion calculated using total number of patients in safety set as the denominator (N=303). [i] Includes the preferred terms iritis, iridocyclitis, and uveitis. [i] Uveitis was not a safety topic of interest in this study and is included as an extra-musculoskeletal manifestation. [k] In the safety set, two uveitis cases occurred in BE AGILE (Week 0-48).

the a conter of a co

Osaka City. Osaka. Japan: ⁷NIHR Leeds Biomedical Research Centre, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust and Leeds Institute of Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine, University of Leeds, UK; ¹⁰UCB Pharma, Slough, UK; ¹⁰UCB Author Disclosures: AD: Speakers bureau for AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Fresenius Kabi, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orant/research support from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orantee, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orantee, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; orantee, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MoonLake, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pha Sanstrint and UCB Pharma; consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Asb, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Fresenius Kabi, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Silead, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Gilead, Novartis, and UCB Pharma; consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Gilead, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Gilead, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Gilead, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Gilead, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbVie, Eli Lilly, Rovartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Consulting fees from AbbV Eisei, E the westigators and their caregivers in addition to all the investigators and their teams who contributed to this study. The authors acknowledge Celia Menckeberg, PhD, UCB Pharma, for publication to all the investigators and their caregivers in addition to all the investigators and their teams who contributed to this study. The authors acknowledge Celia Menckeberg, PhD, UCB Pharma, for publication to all the investigators and their teams who contributed to this study was funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of this presentation to all the investigators and their teams who contributed to this study was funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of this presentation to all the investigators and their teams who contributed to this study was funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with developments: We would like to thank the patients and their teams who contributed to this study was funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with developments: We would like to thank the patients and their teams who contributed to this study was funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of this presentation to all the investigators and their teams who contributed to the study was funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of the study was funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with developments and their teams who contributed to the study was funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with developments are constrained with development of the study was funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with developments are constrained with developments are constrained with developments are constrained with developments are constrained with development are constrained with developments are constrained with development are constrained w were funded by UCB Pharma.

In patients with active AS, treatment with bimekizumab over 5 years was well tolerated and resulted in maintenance of ASAS40 response and ASDAS LDA in approximately half the patients

Table 1Safety to Week 256 for exposure to BKZ





MI: multiple imputation; NRI: non-responder imputation; OC: observed case; OLE: open-label extension; PY: patient-years; SE: standard error; SF-36 PCS: Short Form 36 physical component summary; SIB: suicidal ideation and behavior; TEAE: treatment-emergent adverse event.

Atul Deodhar,¹ Victoria Navarro-Compán,² Denis Poddubnyy,³ Lianne S. Gensler,⁴ Sofia Ramiro,⁵ Tetsuya Tomita,⁶ Helena Marzo-Ortega,⁷ Carmen Fleurinck,⁸ Thomas Vaux,⁹ Ute Massow,¹⁰ Désirée van der Heijde,⁵ Xenofon Baraliakos¹¹

(A) BASFI and (B) total spinal pain scores to Week 256 (MI)

Double-blind Dose-blind

B) ASQol

