Durability of response among patients with psoriatic arthritis (PsA) using biological or targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs in the CorEvitas PsA/spondyloarthritis registry

Summary

Objective

This study aimed to describe the durability of treatment response over 24 months following achievement of 50% improvement in the modified American College of Rheumatology response (mACR50), and factors associated with the response, among patients in the CorEvitas Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA)/Spondyloarthritis (SpA) Registry treated with biological/targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (b/tsDMARDs).

Background

- PsA is a chronic inflammatory disease affecting both the joints and skin; control of the disease is a challenging, yet important treatment goal.1
- Current guidelines recommend advanced therapy when PsA is not adequately controlled with conventional DMARDs (cDMARDs); however, despite an initial response to advanced therapy, many patients fail to maintain the response over time, demonstrating a significant unmet need.¹
- Our understanding of durability of response to b/tsDMARD therapy among PsA patients, as well as patient characteristics that might impact durability in a real-world setting, is limited.

Methods

- The CorEvitas PsA/SpA Registry is a prospective, observational North American research registry launched in 2013.
- PsA patients who initiated b/tsDMARDs ("treatment index") from March 2013-February 2022 and achieved mACR50 at 6 (±3) months post-initiation ("study start index") were followed until first occurrence of loss of response, last study visit, or 24 months post-achievement (Figure 1).
- Loss of treatment response was defined as earliest occurrence of b/tsDMARD discontinuation, non-biologic addition, or loss of mACR50.
- The mACR50 response measure, which did not require laboratory results, was validated to have high correlation with ACR50 in the CorEvitas registry.²
- Patient and clinical characteristics, including disease activity and patient-reported outcomes (PROs), were assessed at treatment index and time of mACR50 achievement.
- Percentage (95% confidence interval [CI]) of patients who maintained treatment response at 6, 12, 18, and 24 months was reported, in addition to median time to loss of response using a Kaplan-Meier Turnbull non-parametric survival method for interval-censored outcomes.
- To identify risk factors associated with loss of treatment response, unadjusted proportional hazards regression models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CIs for each factor.
- A multivariable adjusted model was built based on univariate results and clinical plausibility

Results

Patient demographics and lifestyle characteristics

- The study cohort included 189 b/tsDMARD initiations from 184 unique patients (Table 1).
- Mean (standard deviation [SD]) age was 53.0 (13.3) years, 52% of patients were female, 89% were White, 86% were overweight/obese, 58% of patients were biologic-naïve, and 32% had no prior cDMARD history.
- Mean (SD) PsA disease duration was 5.9 (7.6) years, with 8.1 (9.3) years since symptom onset.

Durability of response in the mACR50 study population

- At mACR50 achievement, improvements in disease activity and PROs from b/tsDMARD initiation were observed However, 8% of patients who achieved mACR50 still had moderate/high disease activity according to the Clinical Disease Activity Index for Psoriatic Arthritis (cDAPSA), and 20% had not reached minimal disease activity (MDA).
- Over the 24-month follow-up, 37% (95% CI: 31%, 43%) of initiators lost response at 6 months, 52% (95% CI: 45%, 58%) at 12 months, 68% (95% CI: 62%, 73%) at 18 months, and 70% (95% CI: 65%, 75%) at 24 months (Figure 2). Median time to loss of mACR50 response was 9.3 months (95% CI: 7.5, 13.0; Table 2)
- Among those who were persistent on therapy, approximately 30% (n=55) of initiators maintained treatment response at the last visit while 57% (n=108) had loss of mACR50 (Table 3)
- Multivariable-adjusted analysis demonstrated that higher EuroQol-5D (EQ-5D) score at initial achievement of mACR50 (0.1 unit increase, HR=0.8 [95% CI: 0.67, 0.96]) and having a college education (HR=0.5 [95% CI: 0.30, 0.83]) were associated with lower risk of mACR50 loss (Figure 3).

Conclusions

Among real-world patients with PsA who achieved mACR50 after b/tsDMARD initiation, approximately one-third ost treatment response at 6 months, half at 12 months, and two-thirds at 18- and 24-months post-achievement.

A higher EQ-5D score and college education, denoting greater health status and educational background, were associated with longer durability of mACR50, following the initial response.

These findings suggest that rheumatologists may consider addressing persistent patient-reported symptoms and quality of life at achievement of treatment response to reduce the likelihood of loss of response to advanced therapy



nent response, last follow-up visit, or 24 months after study start index date, whichever was soonest; "Date of initiation of approved b/tsDMARDs; "Achieved mACR50 response leve

ACR50: 50% improvement in the American College of Rheumatology response; BMI: body surface area; b/tsDMARD: biological/targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; CI: confidence interval; CRP: C-reactive protein; CVD: cerebro-cardiovascular disease; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; EQ-5D: EuroQol-5D; HAQ-DI: Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index; HR: hazard ratio; i: inhibitor; NAR: interquartile range; JAK: Janus kinase inhibitor; mACR50: 50% improvement in the modified American College of Rheumatology response; MDA: minimal disease activity; NSAID: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; PRO: patient-reported outcome; PsA: psoriatic arthritis; SD: standard deviation; SpA: spondyloarthritis; TNF: tumor necrosis factor; VAS: visual analog scale.

dicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ²UCB Pharma, Smyrna, GA, USA; ³CorEvitas, LLC, Waltham, MA, USA; ⁴Swedish Medical Center/Providence St. Joseph Health and University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA References: ¹Gossec L. Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79:700-712; ²Greenberg JD. Rheumatol 2009;48:686-690. Author Contributions: Substantial contributions to study conception/design, or acquisition/analysis/interpretation of data: AO, CS, NM, MM, ME, SWB, RL, PJM; drafting of the publication; or reviewing it critically for important intellectual content: AO, CS, NM, MM, ME, SWB, RL, PJM; drafting of the publication; or reviewing it critically for important intellectual content: AO, CS, NM, MM, ME, SWB, RL, PJM; dirating of the publication; or acquisition/analysis/interpretation of data: AO, CS, NM, MM, ME, SWB, RL, PJM; dirating of the publication; AO, CS, NM, MM, ME, SWB, RL, PJM; dirating of the publication; or reviewing it critically for important intellectual content; AO, CS, NM, MM, ME, SWB, RL, PJM; dirating of the publication; or reviewing it critically for important intellectual content; AO, CS, NM, MM, ME, SWB, RL, PJM; dirating of the publication; or reviewing it critically for important intellectual content; AO, CS, NM, MM, ME, SWB, RL, PJM; dirating of the publication; or reviewing it critically for important intellectual content; AO, CS, NM, MM, ME, SWB, RL, PJM; dirating of the publication; or reviewing it critically for important intellectual content; AO, CS, NM, MM, ME, SWB, RL, PJM; dirating of the publication; or reviewing it critically for important intellectual content; AO, CS, NM, MM, ME, SWB, RL, PJM; dirating of the publication; or reviewing it critically for important intellectual content; AO, CS, NM, MM, ME, SWB, RL, PJM; dirating of the publication; or reviewing it critically for important intellectual content; AO, CS, NM, MM, ME, SWB, RL, PJM; dirating of the publication; or reviewing it critically for important intellectual content; AO, CS, NM, MM, ME, SWB, RL, PJM; dirating of the publication; or reviewing it critically for important intellectual content; AO, CS, NM, MM, ME, SWB, RL, PJM; dirating of the publication; or reviewing it critically for important intellectual content; AO, CS, NM Employee at the time of research and current shareholder of UCB Pharma; NM, MM, ME: Employees of CorEvitas, LLC; PJM: Has received research grants from AbbVie, Acelyrin, Actars, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Eli Lilly and Company, Gilead, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, Sun Pharma, and UCB Pharma; consulting fees from AbbVie, Acelyrin, Actars, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Eli Lilly and Company, Gilead, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, Sun Pharma, and UCB Pharma; NM, MM, ME: Employees of CorEvitas, LLC; PJM: Has received research grants from AbbVie, Acelyrin, Actars, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Eli Lilly and Company, Gilead, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, Sun Pharma; NM, MM, ME: Employees of CorEvitas, LLC. PJM: Has received research grants from AbbVie, Acelyrin, Actars, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Eli Lilly and Company, Gilead, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, Sun Pharma; NM, MM, ME: Employees of CorEvitas, LLC. Regeneros, Pharma; Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Novartis, Pfizer, Sun Pha who contributed to this study. The authors acknowledge Quinn Ho, PhD, and Claire Hews, PhD, at Costello Medical (Boston, MA, US, and Cambridge, UK, respectively) for medical writing, and the Costello Medical Creative team for design support. All costs associated with development of this poster were funded by UCB Pharma.

Presented at RheumNow 2024 | January 27–28 | Dallas, TX

Alexis Ogdie,¹ Chao Song,² Nicole Middaugh,³ Maya Marchese,³ Melissa Eliot,³ Silky W. Beaty,² Robert Low,² Philip J. Mease⁴



N=189. Calculation of the mACR50 res

Demographic and lifestyle characteristics for all PsA Table 1 patients in the CorEvitas PsA/SpA Registry who initiated b/tsDMARD treatment

	At treatment index (N=189)	At study start index ^a (N=189)
Demographic characteristics	(14-10)	(11-105)
Age, mean (SD)	53.0 (13.3)	53.6 (13.2)
Female n (%)	99 (52.4%)	99 (52.4%)
Race (White), n (%)	162 (88.5%)	162 (88.5%)
College education n (%)	142 (75 5%)	142 (75 5%)
Work full-time n (%)	114 (60 6%)	112 (59.6%)
Lifestyle characteristics	114 (00.0%)	112 (55.6%)
BMI, n (%)		1
Overweight (25–30)	52 (28.0%)	55 (29.6%)
Obese (>30)	107 (57.5%)	105 (56.5%)
Disease characteristics		+ · · · · · · · · ·
History of comorbidities, n (%)		1
CVD ^b	20 (10.6%)	20 (10.6%)
Malignancy	14 (7.4%)	14 (7.4%)
Depression	26 (13.8%)	27 (14.3%)
Anxiety	16 (8.5%)	16 (8.5%)
Hypertension	79 (41.8%)	79 (41.8%)
Diabetes	23 (12 2%)	23 (12 2%)
Duration of PsA symptoms (years) mean (SD)	81(93)	87(92)
Spinal involvement n (%)	17 (9.0%)	11 (5.8%)
Age at PcA oncet mean (SD)	45 0 (13 2)	45.0 (13.2)
Disease activity	+5.0 (15.2)	+3.0 (13.2)
Tender joint count (0–68) mean (SD)	8 6 (9 6)	0.8(1.9)
Swollen joint count (0-66) mean (SD)	59(64)	0.6 (1.8)
Dactylitis n (%)	61 (32 3%)	10 (5.3%)
cDAPSA category n (%)	01 (32.3%)	1 10 (3.5%)
Demission (0, 4)	1 (0 5%)	105 (56 5%)
Remission $(0-4)$	1 (0.5%)	105 (50.5%)
Low disease activity (>4-13)	41 (22.0%)	1 00 (35.5%)
Moderate disease activity (>13-27)	91 (48.9%)	14 (7.5%)
High disease activity (>27)	53 (28.5%)	1 (0.5%)
MDA, n (%)	18 (9./%)	145 (79.7%)
YKUS		I 0.7 (0.4)
HAQ-DI, mean (SD)	0.8 (0.6)	0.3 (0.4)
Pain (VAS-100), mean (SD)	51.4 (26.3)	18.1 (19.4)
Spine pain (VAS-100), mean (SD)	24.5 (27.8)	12.2 (18./)
Patient global assessment of arthritis (VAS-100), mean (SD)	40.6 (24.6)	17.5 (21.2)
Fatigue (VAS-100), mean (SD)	46.2 (27.1)	25.5 (24.5)
Morning stiffness (VAS-100), mean (SD)	53.0 (28.2)	19.6 (20.6)
EQ-5D, mean (SD)	0.7 (0.2)	0.8 (0.1)
Treatment characteristics		
b/tsDMARD at initiation, n (%)		1
TNFi	106 (56.7%)	106 (56.7%)
IL-17i	48 (25.7%)	48 (25.7%)
IL-23i	3 (1.6%)	3 (1.6%)
IL-12/23i	12 (6.4%)	12 (6.4%)
JAKi	7 (3.7%)	7 (3.7%)
Apremilast	11 (5.9%)	11 (5.9%)
Combination therapy with cDMARD	65 (34.4%)	65 (34.4%)
Prior b/tsDMARDs. n (%)	00 (0 1. 1.0)	
0	110 (58.2%)	95 (50.3%)
-	62 (32.8%)	77 (40 7%)
2+	17 (9 0%)	17 (9.0%)
Prior CDMARDs n (%)	17 (3.0%)	1 (5.6%)
0	61 (32 3%)	I 61 (32 3%)
1	104 (55 0%)	1 104 (55.0%)
1	104 (33.0%)	1 24 (33.0%)
Current NSAID use a (%)	24 (12.7 %) EQ (70 7%)	L 24 (12.7%)
Current NSAID USE, n (%)	58 (30.7%)	102 (85./%)

phary artery disease, CHF (with and without hospitalization), stroke, transient ischemic attack, other CV, deep vein

Figure 3 Association between loss of treatment response and patient and disease characteristics at study start index^a





Unadjusted^j

Adjusted *Significance level set at p<0.05. *At achievement of mACR50; *5-year increase; "Reference: male; #Reference: no college education; "Reference: not working full-time; "According to BM mal); "Reference: 5-unit increase; "Ref ence: 0.1-unit increase: Comb redictor was tested one at a time; ¹Models were adjusted for age, sex, college education, work status, BMI, BSA, fatigue, EQ-5D, and combination therapy; model variables were selected ession results and clinical knowledge

Description of time until loss of mACR50 treatment response Table 2

	Months (95% CI) to loss of response		Percent (95% CI) maintaining treatment response				
	25 th percentile	Median	i 75 th percentile	6 months	12 months	1 18 months	24 months
nitiators 189)	3.4 (1.8, 3.7)	9.3 (7.5, 13.0)	NA (21.6, NA)	62.8 (56.6, 69.3)	48.1 (42.1, 54.5)	31.9 (26.7, 37.7)	30.1 (24.8, 35.2)

NA: As 30% maintained response at 24 months (the end of follow-up), the 75th percentile of time to loss of response could not be calculated

Table 3 Frequencies of first occurrence of b/tsDMARD persistence, discontinuation, start of a new non-biologic therapy, and loss of mACR50 response within 24 months of study start index date after achieving mACR50

	n (%)ª	Months from
No loss of treatment response		
Persistent	55 (29.1%)	
Non-failure discontinuation		
Temporary discontinuation	1 (0.5%)	18.
Other ^c	0 (0.0%)	
Loss of treatment response		
Failure discontinuation	23 (12.2%)	7.
Side effect (minor, serious)	1 (0.5%)	12.
Failure to maintain initial response	1 (0.5%)	5
Missing reason	21 (11.1%)	7.
Other	0 (0.0%)	
Start of new systemic	2 (1 19()	
non-biologic therapy	2 (1.1%)	
Loss of mACR50	108 (57.1%)	0

d using the lower bound in patients that have an interval censored time until loss of tre ative reason, fear of future side effect, and drug administration discontinuations; #Includes improving compliance/tolerability, inadequate initial response, alternativ

To receive a copy of

February 11, 2024



HR (95% CI)	p value
.05 (0.98, 1.14)	0.175
.02 (0.92, 1.14)	0.683
.18 (0.81, 1.72)	0.389
.23 (0.78, 1.95)	0.372
.56 (0.36, 0.86)	0.008*
.50 (0.30, 0.83)	0.007*
.85 (0.58, 1.23)	0.387
.88 (0.52, 1.49)	0.644
.11 (0.62, 1.98)	0.732
.41 (0.56, 3.55)	0.472
.08 (0.63, 1.86)	0.775
.06 (0.44, 2.55)	0.904
.21 (0.92, 1.60)	0.181
.05 (0.98, 1.14)	0.183
.05 (1.01, 1.09)	0.01*
.01 (0.96, 1.07)	0.609
.82 (0.72, 0.93)	0.002*
.80 (0.67, 0.96)	0.018*
.14 (0.76, 1.69)	0.532
.27 (0.92, 1.75)	0.148



study start index date nedian (IQR)^b

3.0 (18.0, 18.0)

7.4 (5.0, 15.9) 2.2 (12.2, 12.2) 7.4 (4.6, 16.1) 5.7 (4.0, 9.4)

0.0 (0.0, 6.2)

