Bimekizumab Treatment Impact on Pain and Fatigue in Patients with Active Psoriatic Arthritis who were Biologic DMARD-Naïve or had Inadequate Response or Intolerance to TNF- α Inhibitors: 1-Year Results from Two Phase 3 Studies

Objective

To report the impact of bimekizumab (BKZ) treatment up to 1 year on patient-reported pain and fatigue in patients with active psoriatic arthritis (PsA) who were biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (bDMARD)-naïve or had intolerance or inadequate response to tumor necrosis factor- α inhibitor (TNFi-IR).

Background

- Patients identified pain and fatigue as key features of PsA that drive the impact of PsA on their health-related quality of life (HRQoL).¹
- BKZ, a monoclonal IgG1 antibody that selectively inhibits interleukin (IL)-17F in addition to IL-17A, has demonstrated meaningful improvements in pain and fatigue symptoms to 16 weeks vs placebo (PBO) in patients with active PsA.^{2,3}

Methods

- BE OPTIMAL (NCT03895203) and BE COMPLETE (NCT03896581) were phase 3 trials assessing BKZ 160 mg every 4 weeks (Q4W) in patients with active PsA who were bDMARD-naïve or TNFi-IR, respectively (Figure 1).
- Both trials had a 16-week double-blind, placebo-controlled phase; at Week 16, PBO patients switched to receive BKZ (PBO/BKZ).
- Patients completing Week 52 of BE OPTIMAL or Week 16 of BE COMPLETE were eligible to enter the open-label extension, BE VITAL (NCT04009499). BE COMPLETE plus BE VITAL is referred to as 'BE COMPLETE' hereafter (Figure 1). Data reported here for up to 52 weeks of therapy from both trials.
- Here, we report individual study data up to 1 year for BKZ and PBO treatment arms for the 0–100 Patient's Assessment of Arthritis Pain Visual Analogue Scale (Pain VAS; clinically important improvements of \geq 30/50/70% from baseline,⁴ and change from baseline) and Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy-Fatigue subscale (FACIT-Fatigue; Minimum Clinically Important Difference [MCID] of \geq 4-point improvement from baseline in patients with score \leq 48 at baseline, and change from baseline).
- BE COMPLETE FACIT-Fatigue values were collected to Week 40 only.
- Missing data were imputed using non-responder imputation (NRI; binary) and multiple imputation (MI; continuous).

Results

- Overall, 770/852 (90.4%) and 347/400 (86.8%) patients completed Week 52 of BE OPTIMAL and BE COMPLETE, respectively.*
- Baseline characteristics were generally similar between treatment arms within studies (Table 1).
- Compared with PBO, BKZ-treated patients demonstrated numerically greater improvements from baseline in patient-reported pain, and greater proportions achieved clinically meaningful improvements of \geq 30/50/70% at Week 16; improvements were sustained from Week 16 to Week 52 on BKZ treatment (Figure 2).
- Compared with PBO, BKZ-treated patients achieved numerically greater improvements from baseline in patient-reported fatigue, and greater proportions achieved the clinically meaningful improvement of FACIT-Fatigue MCID at Week 16; improvements were sustained from Week 16 to Week 52 on BKZ treatment (Figure 3).
- Patients who switched from PBO to BKZ at Week 16 also achieved improvements in patient-reported pain and fatigue following switch to 1 year (Figure 2, Figure 3).

Conclusions

Treatment with bimekizumab resulted in sustained improvements in patient-reported pain and fatigue from Week 16 up to 1 year in both bDMARD-naïve and TNFi-IR patients with active PsA, with clinically meaningful improvements observed in over half of patients.

Summary

up to 1 year in both bDMARD-naïve and TNFi-IR patients with active PsA:

Week 16 (%)

Week 52 (%)





The altine area; **BA1:** body mass index; **BA1:** body surface area; **BA2:** binekizumab; **BM1:** body mass index; **BA1:** body surface area; **BA1:** body surface area; **FACIT-Fatigue**: Functional Assessment Or Chronic IIIness Therapy—Fatigue; **BA1:** body surface area; **FACIT-Fatigue**: Functional Assessment Or Chronic IIIness Therapy—Fatigue; **BA1:** body surface area; **FACIT-Fatigue**: Functional Assessment Or Chronic IIIness Therapy—Fatigue; **BA1:** body mass index; **BA1:** body surface area; **FACIT-Fatigue**: Functional Assessment Or Chronic IIIness Therapy—Fatigue; **BA2:** binekizumab; **BA1:** body mass index; **BA1:** body surface area; **FACIT-Fatigue**: Functional Assessment Or Chronic IIIness Therapy—Fatigue; **BA2:** binekizumab; **BA1:** body mass index; **BA1:** body surface area; **FACIT-Fatigue**: Functional Assessment Or Chronic IIIness Therapy—Fatigue; **BA2:** binekizumab; **BA1:** body mass index; **BA1:** body surface area; **FACIT-Fatigue**: Functional Assessment Or Chronic IIIness Therapy—Fatigue; **BA2:** binekizumab; **BA1:** body mass index; **BA1:** binekizumab; **BA1:** binekizumab; **BA1:** binekizumab; **BA2:** binekizumab; **BA2:** binekizumab; **BA3:** binekizumab; **BA4:** binekizumab; **BA3:** binekizumab; Leeds Enthesitis Index; MCID: minimum clinically important difference; MI: multiple imputation; SE: standard error; SJC: swollen joint count; TJC: tender joint count; TJC: tender joint count; TJC: tender joint count; SE: standard error; SJC: swollen joint count; TJC: tender joint count; TJC: ten count; **TNFi-IR:** inadequate response or intolerance to tumor necrosis factor- α inhibitor.

To receive a copy of this poster, scan the QR code or visit: https://ucbposters.com/STAR2024 **Poster ID:** 060968 Link expiration: March 10, 2024

the cleveland, OH, USA; ² Orthopedic and Rheumatologic Institute, Cleveland, OH, USA; ³ Swedish Medical School, Brigham and University, Cleveland, OH, USA; ⁴ Department of Dermatologic Institute, Cleveland, OH, USA; ⁴ Department of Dermatology, Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Inversity, Cleveland, OH, USA; ⁴ Department of Dermatology, Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Inversity, Cleveland, OH, USA; ⁴ Department of Dermatology, Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Inversity, Cleveland, OH, USA; ⁴ Department of Dermatology, Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Inversity, Cleveland, OH, USA; ⁴ Department of Dermatology, Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Inversity, Cleveland, OH, USA; ⁴ Department of Dermatology, Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Inversity, Cleveland, OH, USA; ⁴ Department of Dermatology, Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Inversity, Cleveland, OH, USA; ⁴ Department of Dermatology, Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Inversity, Cleveland, OH, USA; ⁴ Department of Reading, OH, USA the set and Fraunhofer Institute for Translational Medicine & Pharmacology, University, Fraunhofer Cluster of Excellence Immune-Mediated Diseases CIMD, Fraunhofer Institute for Translational Medicine & Pharmacology, University, Fraunhofer Cluster of Excellence Immune-Mediated Diseases CIMD, Fraunhofer Institute for Translational Medicine & Pharmacology, University, Fraunhofer Cluster of Excellence Immune-Mediated Diseases CIMD, Fraunhofer Cluster of Excellence Immune-Mediated Diseases CIMD, Fraunhofer Institute for Translational Medicine, Harvard Medicin the set and the set ¹²UCB Pharma, Slough, UK; ¹³UCB Pharma, Colombes, France; ¹⁴UCB Pharma, Morrisville, NC, USA; ¹⁵Sorbonne Universite, and Pitie-Salpetriere Hospital, Paris, France. tracet 2023;401:38-48; ⁴Dworkin RH. J Pain 2008;9:105-21. Author Contributions to study conception/design, or acquisition/analysis/interpretation of data: MEH, PJM, JFM, FB, EGF, DM, WRT, ST, BI, RB, JL, JC, LG; Drafting of the publication, or reviewing it critically for important intellectual contributions to study conception/design, or acquisition/analysis/interpretation of data: MEH, PJM, JFM, FB, EGF, DM, WRT, ST, BI, RB, JL, JC, LG; Drafting of the publication, or reviewing it critically for important intellectual contributions to study conception/design, or acquisition/analysis/interpretation of data: MEH, PJM, JFM, FB, EGF, DM, WRT, ST, BI, RB, JL, JC, LG; Drafting of the publication, or reviewing it critically for important intellectual content: Network and the publication of data: MEH, PJM, JFM, FB, EGF, DM, WRT, ST, BI, RB, JL, JC, LG; Drafting of the publication, or reviewing it critically for important intellectual contributions: Substantial contributions: Substantial contributions to study conception/design, or acquisition/analysis/interpretation of data: MEH, PJM, JFM, FB, EGF, DM, WRT, ST, BI, RB, JL, JC, LG; Drafting of the publication, or reviewing it critically for important intellectual contributions: Substantial content: Substantial contributions to study conception/design, or acquisition/analysis/interpretation of data: MEH, PJM, JFM, FB, EGF, DM, WRT, ST, BI, RB, IB, RB Pharma, Takeda, UCB Pharma, and Ventyx; consultancy fees from AbbVie, Amgen, Eli Lilly and Company, Janssen, Movartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; speakers' bureau for AbbVie, Amgen, Eli Lilly and Company, Janssen, Movartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; speakers' bureau for AbbVie, Amgen, Astra-Zeneca, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speakers' bureau for AbbVie, Amgen, Astra-Zeneca, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speakers' bureau for AbbVie, Amgen, Eli Lilly and Company, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speakers' bureau for AbbVie, Amgen, Astra-Zeneca, Societatics, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speakers' bureau for AbbVie, Amgen, Eli Lilly and Company, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma the and loc manage and loc manages and loc manag therester and UCB Pharma; EGF: Consultancy/speaker fees from AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Tom AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; EGF: Consulting fees and honoraria from AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; Speaker's bureau for AbbVie, Celgene, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB Pharma; BR: Consulting fees, speaker fees from AbbVie, Eisai, Eli Lilly and Company, Janssen, Kyowa Kirin, Novartis, and UCB Pharma; BI: Employee of UCB Pharma; Shareholder of AbbVie, GSK, and the would like to thank the patients and UCB Pharma; BMS, Celltrion, Eli Lilly and Company, BMS, Celltrion, Eli Lilly and Company, Galapagos, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sandoz, and UCB Pharma; Personal fees from AbbVie, Amgen, BMS, Celltrion, Eli Lilly and Company, Galapagos, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sandoz, and UCB Pharma; Bersonal fees from AbbVie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Galapagos, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sandoz, and UCB Pharma; Bersonal fees from AbbVie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Galapagos, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sandoz, and UCB Pharma; Bersonal fees from AbbVie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Galapagos, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sandoz, and UCB Pharma; Bersonal fees from AbbVie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Galapagos, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sandoz, and UCB Pharma; Bersonal fees from AbbVie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Galapagos, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sandoz, and UCB Pharma; Bersonal fees from AbbVie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Galapagos, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sandoz, and UCB Pharma; Bersonal fees from AbbVie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Galapagos, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sandoz, and UCB Pharma; Bersonal fees from AbbVie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Galapagos, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sandoz, and UCB Pharma; Bersonal fees from AbbVie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Galapagos, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sandoz, and UCB Pharma; Bersonal fees from AbbVie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Galapagos, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sandoz, and UCB Pharma; Bersonal fees from AbbVie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Bersonal fees from Abbrie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Bersonal fees from Abbrie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Bersonal fees from Abbrie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Bersonal fees from Abbrie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Bersonal fees from Abbrie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Bersonal fees from Abbrie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Company, Bersonal fees from Abbrie, Biogen, Eli Lilly and Compa the studies and their teams who contributed to these studies. The authors acknowledge Heather Edens, PhD, UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of this presentation were funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of this presentation were funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of this presentation were funded by UCB Pharma. In costs associated with development of this presentation were funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of this presentation were funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of this presentation were funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of this presentation were funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of this presentation were funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of the cost associated with development of the cost associated with development of the cost associated with development. These studies were funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of the cost associated with development. These studies were funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of the cost associated with development. These studies were funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of the cost associated with development. These studies were funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of the cost associated with development. These studies were funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of the cost associated with development. These studies were funded by UCB Pharma. All costs associated with development of the cost associated with development. The cost associated with development. The cost associated with development assistance were funded by UCB Pharma. All cost associated with development. The cost associated with development. The cost associated were funded by UCB Pharma. All cost associated were funded by UCB Pharma. All cost associated were funded by UCB Pharma. All cost associated were funded by

Pain and **fatigue** are key features of PsA that patients identify as impactful.



Figure 2 Improvements in patient-reported pain up to 1 year

 Table 1
 Baseline demographics and patient characteristics

	BE OPTIMAL (bDMARD-naïve)		BE COMPLETE (TNFi-IR)	
	PBO (n=281)	BKZ 160 mg Q4W (n=431)	PBO (n=133)	BKZ 160 mg Q4W (n=267)
Age , years, mean (SD)	48.7 (11.7)	48.5 (12.6)	51.3 (12.9)	50.1 (12.4)
Male , n (%)	127 (45.2)	201 (46.6)	60 (45.1)	130 (48.7)
BMI , kg/m², mean (SD)	29.6 (6.1)	29.2 (6.8)	29.0 (5.4)	30.1 (6.5)
Time since first PsA diagnosis , ^a years, mean (SD)	5.6 (6.5)	6.0 (7.3)	9.2 (8.1)	9.6 (9.9)
BSA affected by psoriasis ≥3%, n (%)	140 (49.8)	217 (50.3)	88 (66.2)	176 (65.9)
PASI score , ^b mean (SD)	7.9 (5.6)	8.2 (6.8)	8.5 (6.6)	10.1 (9.1)
TJC (of 68 joints) , mean (SD)	17.1 (12.5)	16.8 (11.8)	19.3 (14.2)	18.4 (13.5)
SJC (of 66 joints) , mean (SD)	9.5 (7.3)	9.0 (6.2)	10.3 (8.2)	9.7 (7.5)
Enthesitis (LEI >0) , ^c mean (SD)	70 (24.9)	143 (33.2)	36 (27.1)	106 (39.7)
LEI score ^d	2.9 (1.5)	2.5 (1.5)	2.9 (1.6)	2.6 (1.5)
Dactylitis (LDI >0) , ^e mean (SD)	33 (11.7)	56 (13.0)	14 (10.5)	34 (12.7)
Dactylitic sites ^f	1.5 (0.6)	1.4 (0.8)	1.9 (2.4)	2.0 (1.8)
LDI score ^f	47.3 (41.1)	46.7 (54.3)	66.4 (127.6)	72.7 (114.4)
HAQ-DI , ^g mean (SD)	0.89 (0.61)	0.82 (0.59)	1.04 (0.69)	0.97 (0.59)
hs-CRP ≥6 mg/L , n (%)	121 (43.1)	158 (36.7)	59 (44.4)	118 (44.2)
Pain VAS , ^{g,h} mean (SD)	56.8 (23.2)	53.6 (24.3)	61.7 (24.6)	58.3 (24.2)
FACIT-Fatigue, ^{g,i} mean (SD)	36.0 (10.2)	37.8 (9.6)	36.3 (9.9)	35.3 (10.5)

Randomized set. [a] Data missing for 2 PBO and 8 BKZ patients in BE OPTIMAL, and 1 PBO and 1 BKZ patient in BE COMPLETE; [b] In patients with psoriasis involving at least 3% of BSA at baseline; [c] Data missing for 6 BKZ patients in BE OPTIMAL, and 1 PBO patient in BE COMPLETE; [d] In patients with enthesitis at baseline (LEI>0); [e] Data missing for 1 PBO and 7 BKZ patients in BE OPTIMAL, and 1 PBO patient in BE COMPLETE; [f] In patients with dactylitis at baseline (LDI>0); [g] Data missing for 1 BKZ patient in BE OPTIMAL; [h] Pain VAS score measured using PtAAP ranges from 0 (no pain) to 100 (most severe pain); [i] FACIT-Fatigue score ranges from 0 to 52, with 52 being the best possible score.

Figure 3 Improvements in patient-reported fatigue up to 1 year

A) FACIT-Fatigue MCID^a (NRI) **BE OPTIMAL (bDMARD-naïve)**

BE COMPLETE (TNFi-IR)





score increase from baseline \geq 4 in patients with FACIT-Fatigue \leq 48 at baseline.



M. Elaine Husni^{1,2} Philip J. Mease³ Joseph F. Merola,^{4,5} Frank Behrens,⁶ Ennio G. Favalli,⁷ Dennis McGonagle,⁸ William R. Tillett,^{9,10} Shigeyoshi Tsuji,¹¹ Barbara Ink,¹² Rajan Bajracharya,¹² Jérémy Lambert,¹³ Jason Coarse,¹⁴ Laure Gossec¹⁵



B) FACIT-Fatigue change from baseline (MI) **BE OPTIMAL (bDMARD-naïve)**





Randomized set. FACIT-Fatigue score ranges from 0 to 52, with 52 being the best possible score. [a] For BE COMPLETE, FACIT-Fatigue values were not collected at Week 52; only collected to Week 40. FACIT-Fatigue MCID defined as

