Rozanolixizumab treatment patterns in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis: Post hoc analysis

MGFA Scientific Session 2024; Savannah, GA, USA; October 15, 2024

Introduction

- Rozanolixizumab is a humanized IgG4 monoclonal antibody FcRn inhibitor for the treatment of adults with AChR Ab+ or MuSK Ab+ gMG^{1,2}
- In the Phase 3 MycarinG study (NCT03971422), adults with gMG received one 6-week treatment cycle of once-weekly rozanolixizumab or placebo¹
- Patients from MycarinG who enrolled directly in the MG0007 OLE study (NCT04650854) received one further treatment cycle, then subsequent need-based cycles were given based on symptom worsening initiated at the investigator's discretion³
- Some patients from MycarinG enrolled in a separate OLE study (MG0004, NCT04124965) before switching to MG0007 following which all cycles were based on symptom worsening
- The use of need-based (symptom-driven) cycles led to variability in the frequency of cycles received by each patient and the duration of treatment-free intervals
- At the time of interim analysis, patients who had participated for at least 1 year had initiated a mean of 4.0 cycles (median 4.0, range 1-7) in the first year. This suggests an expected treatment pattern of 6 weeks' treatment followed by 6-8weeks' treatment-free interval, that can be adjusted according to the individual needs of the patient
- This *post hoc* analysis aimed to describe the range of rozanolixizumab treatment patterns in more detail and their associations with baseline patient characteristics

Methods

- Patients enrolled in MycarinG were aged \geq 18 years with AChR Ab+ or MuSK Ab+ gMG, MGFA Disease Class II–IVa, MG-ADL score \geq 3 (for non-ocular symptoms) and QMG score $\geq 11^{1}$
- Following MycarinG, patients could enroll in one of two OLE studies: MG0004 (chronic weekly treatment) and MG0007 (cyclic treatment); patients in MG0004 could enroll in MG0007 at any time after \geq 6 weeks
- Clustering analysis on the number of cycles per year was conducted using data from patients with ≥ 1 cycle from MycarinG and MG0007 (data cutoff: July 08, 2022)
- Clustering is a data-driven approach used to describe between-patient variability in the number of cycles
- Clustering with the best fit was determined using three metrics: the pseudo-F statistic comparing between-cluster variability and within-cluster variability, the R-squared statistic giving the percentage of variability explained by clustering, and the sample size of each cluster - For patients with <12 months in the studies who discontinued, cycles per year was calculated as total number of cycles. For all other patients, cycles per year
- was calculated as total number of cycles over total follow-up. Baseline patient characteristics were assessed for association with the number of
- cycles per year using a multivariate logistic regression model
- The reporting of any TEAEs, serious TEAEs and severe TEAEs by cycle was compared across the three clusters
- All analyses were descriptive

Results

- A total of 188 patients received ≥ 1 cycle of rozanolixizumab treatment
- The most balanced clustering and optimal goodness-of-fit was achieved using three clusters to describe the number of cycles per year (low: <2.59 [n=74]; medium: 2.59–4.64 [n=64]; high: >4.64 [n=50])
- The mean (SD) number of cycles per year in each cluster was 1.50 (0.53), 3.59 (0.60) and 5.82 (0.72), respectively
- The range of cycles per year in each cluster was 0.61–2.54, 2.60–4.64 and 4.77–7.53, respectively
- Treatment-free interval lengths varied between and within patients across the three clusters (**Figure 1**)
- Baseline patient characteristics were generally balanced between the clusters (**Table 1**) and did not predict the cluster in which a patient would be categorized (**Figure 2**)
- Rozanolixizumab was generally well tolerated and, consistent with previous
- analyses,⁵ the incidence of TEAEs did not increase over repeated cycles (**Table 2**) - In Cycles 1 and 2, the incidence of serious and severe TEAEs was higher in the low cluster than in the medium and high clusters
- In the first two cycles, 28.4% (21/74) of patients in the low cluster (but no patients in the medium and high clusters) discontinued due to TEAEs

Figure 1



High CPY cluster

Medium CPY cluster (2.59-4.64)[‡]

Low CPY cluster

Treatment cycles and treatment-free intervals for individual patients by cluster

Time between cycles Additional follow-up time (off-cycle)* // Received non-cyclic treatment in MG0004[†] I Discontinued cyclic treatment







Each row represents an individual patient cycling through successive treatment cycles and treatment-free intervals.

*For patients who were ongoing at the data cutoff date (July 08, 2022), follow-up was censored; additional follow-up time for these patients is the difference between the end of last treatment cycle and this date. [†]Patients spent an unspecified time period in the MG0004 study and received weekly (non-cyclic) rozanolixizumab treatment after Cycle 1 in MycarinG. [‡]Patients in MycarinG who completed the study but did not continue to any OLE study (n=9) were included in the cluster analysis but are not presented in the figure.



Days in study

Ali A. Habib¹, Tuan Vu², Kimiaki Utsugisawa³, Julian Grosskreutz⁴, Sabrina Sacconi⁵, John Vissing⁶, Marion Boehnlein⁷, Fiona Grimson⁸, Irene Pulido-Valdeolivas⁹, Thaïs Tarancón⁹, Vera Bril¹⁰

¹MDA ALS and Neuromuscular Center, Department of Neurology, University of California, Irvine, Orange, CA, USA; ²Department of Neurology, University of South Florida Morsani College of Medicine, Tampa, FL, USA; ³Department of Neurology, Hanamaki General Hospital, Hanamaki, Japan; ⁴Precision Neurology of Neuromuscular Diseases, Department of Neurology, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany; ⁵Université Côte d'Azur, Peripheral Nervous System & Muscle Department, Pasteur 2 Hospital, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nice, France; ⁶Department of Neurology, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁷UCB, Monheim, Germany; ⁸UCB, Slough, UK; ⁹UCB, Madrid, Spain; ¹⁰University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada

Table 1 Baseline patient characteristics by cluster					Summary	Summary and conclusions		
	L ((Low CPY M cluster c <2.59 CPY) (2 n=74 n	ledium CPY luster 2.59–4.64 CPY) =64	High CPY cluster (>4.64 CPY) n=50		In the MycarinG OLE, MG0007, the use of need-based, MG symptom-driven		
Age at baseline, years, m M	nean (SD) 5 ale, n (%) 3	55.5 (15.7) 5 33 (44.6) 2	0.7 (15.9) 7 (42.2)	50.4 (17.2) 17 (34.0)	carinG Study	cycles of rozanolixizumab treatment		
	lla 1	L4 (18.9) 1	5 (23.4)	7 (14.0)				
	llb 1	L4 (18.9) 1	1 (17.2)	14 (28.0)		led to variability in the number of		
MGFA Disease Class n (%)	Illa 💈	26 (35.1) 2	6 (40.6)	17 (34.0)		cycles received per patient and the		
	IIIb 1	L6 (21.6) 1	1 (17.2)	11 (22.0)		duration of treatment-free intervals		
	IVa 4	1 (5.4) 1	(1.6)	1 (2.0)				
Prior myasthenic cr	isis, n (%) 💈	24 (32.4) 1	7 (26.6)	12 (24.0)				
Thymector	my, n (%) 💈	23 (31.1) 2	7 (42.2)	25 (50.0)		Three treatment clusters based on		
AChR A	b+, n (%) 🤅	55 (87.8) 5	8 (90.6)	47 (94.0)				
MuSK A	b+, n (%) 🤉	9 (12.2) 5	(7.8)	4 (8.0)		mean cycles per year demonstrated		
MG-ADL score, m	nean (SD) 7	7.8 (3.6) 8	.4 (3.3)	9 (3.0)		that rozanolixizumab cvcle cadence		
QMG score, m	nean (SD) 1	L5.3 (3.5) 1	5.8 (3.9)	15.8 (3.3)		variac hatwaan nationts from		
Disease duration, years, m	nean (SD) 🛛 🗧	3.0 (8.4) 8	.5 (9.6)	9.2 (7.5)		varies between patients, nom		
Baseline ACI	hEl, n (%) 🚦	59 (79.7) 5	9 (92.2)	44 (88.0)		approximately 1–7 cycles per year		
Baseline	CS, n (%) 5	52 (70.3) 3	9 (60.9)	29 (58.0)				
Baseline NS	IST, n (%) 3	34 (45.9) 3	8 (59.4)	25 (50.0)				
						There were no significant differences		
						in the hacoline characteristics of		
Figure 2 Multivari	ate logis	stic rearessior	n model of a	associations		patients across the three clusters		
5 hetween	haselin	e natient char	acteristics	and cycles				
	Duscin			ind cycles				
per year						Treatment with rozanolixizumab		
						was wall talarated and the incidence		
Age (y	ears)							
Sex (male vs fen	nale) 🔶					of TEAEs did not increase over		
MGFA Disease Class IIa (vs	s IIIb) 🔶					repeated cycles in line with		
MGFA Disease Class IIb (vs	s IIIb) 🔸	4						
MGFA Disease Class IIIa (vs	s IIIb) 🔸					previous analyses; the safety profile		
MGFA Disease Class IVa (Vs	5 IIIb) +	•				differed slightly between the low		
Thymactomy (yes v	sno) H•-					and modium/high clusters		
A C b D A b L (voc v						and medium/myn clusters		
MUSK AD+ (yes vs	sno)	-						
MG-ADL score at bas								
OMG score at base	eline					This cluster analysis suggests		
Duration of disease (v	ears)					that physicians and patients take		
Baseline AChEI (ves v	s no)					an individualized an proach to		
Baseline CS (yes v	s no) 🕂					an muividualized approach to		
Baseline NSIST (yes v	s no) 🛏					rozanolixizumab treatment, resulting		
	0 1					in each natient's unique symptom-		
	U I	2 3 4 3 0 7 8 9		$\frac{17}{10} \frac{19}{10} \frac{20}{21} \frac{21}{22} \frac{23}{23}$				
				uus ratio (95% CI)		driven cycle cadence, based on		
CPY was the response variable (categorical: h	high, medium and low)	. BMI at baseline w	as also included in the		their own gMG experience		
model but is not shown.								
Table 2Safety su	immarv	by cluster						
					Abbreviations: AChEI, acetylcholinestera	se inhibitor; AChR Ab+, acetylcholine receptor autoantibody positive; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence i		
		Medium CPV	High CPY	All natients	MG-ADL, Myasthenia Gravis Activities of D	Daily Living; MGFA, Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America; MuSK Ab+, muscle-specific tyrosine kinase autoai		
clu	uster	cluster	cluster	Aupatents	positive; NSIST, non-steroidal immunos TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event	suppressant therapy; OLE, open-label extension; QMG, Quantitative Myasthenia Gravis; SD, standard de t.		
(<2	2.59 CPY)	(2.59–4.64 CPY) (>4.64 CPY)		Acknowledgments: This study was funde	ed by UCB. The authors acknowledge Julia Stevens, PhD, and Alpa Parmar, PhD, CMPP, of Ogilvy Health, Lond		
Pat	tients per	Patients per	Patients per	Patients per	for editorial assistance, which was funded the patients and their caregivers, in addition	d by UCB. The authors thank Veronica Porkess, PhD, of UCB for publication and editorial support. The author on to the investigators and their teams who contributed to this study.		
CVC	cle: n1=74,	cycle: n1=64,	cycle: n1=50,	cycle: n1=188,	Author disclosures: Ali A. Habib has re	eceived research support from Alexion Pharmaceuticals, argenx, Cabaletta Bio, Genentech/Roche, Immu		
n2	-38, n3=10), n2=55, n3=53,	n2=50, n3=50	, n2=143, n3=113,	Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, UCB and V Genentech/Roche, Immunovant, Inhibrx	нета вто (now Amgen). Не nas received nonoraria from Atexion Pharmaceuticals, Alpine Immune Sciences, , Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, NMD Pharma and UCB. Tuan Vu is the USF Site Principal Investigator for MG		
n4	=1	n4=42	n4=49	n4=92	trials sponsored by Alexion/AstraZeneca Johnson & Johnson, NMD Pharma, Rece	Rare Disease, Amgen, argenx, Cartesian Therapeutics, COUR Pharmaceuticals, Dianthus Therapeutics, Immu meron Pharmaceuticals and UCB, and has served as a speaker for Alexion/AstraZeneca Rare Disease, argenx a		
Cycle 1 58	(78.4)	49 (76.6)	40 (80.0)	147 (78.2)	Behring. He performed consulting work	for Alexion/AstraZeneca Rare Disease, argenx, Dianthus Therapeutics, ImmunAbs and UCB. Kimiaki Utsugisa		
Any TEAE, Cycle 2 26	(68.4)	36 (65.5)	38 (76.0)	100 (69.9)	served as a paid Consultant for argenx, C Merck, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma, UCB a	nugai Pharmaceutical, HanAll Biopharma, Janssen Pharmaceuticals (now Johnson & Johnson Innovative Me and Viela Bio (now Amgen); and he has received speaker honoraria from Alexion Pharmaceuticals, argenx, the		
n (%) Cvcle 3 6 (60.0)	29 (54.7)	32 (64.0)	67 (59.3)	Blood Products Organization and UCB.	Julian Grosskreutz has served as a Consultant for Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Biogen and UCB, and his institut		

53 (57.6)

20 (10.6)

9 (6.3)

5 (4.4)

5 (5.4)

9 (6.3)

6 (5.3)

8 (8.7)

23 (12.2)





		Low CPY cluster (<2.59 CPY)	Medium CPY cluster (2.59–4.64 CPY)	High CPY cluster (>4.64 CPY)
		Patients per cycle: n1=74, n2=38, n3=10, n4=1	Patients per cycle: n1=64, n2=55, n3=53, n4=42	Patients per cycle: n1=50, n2=50, n3=50, n4=49
	Cycle 1	58 (78.4)	49 (76.6)	40 (80.0)
Any TEAE,	Cycle 2	26 (68.4)	36 (65.5)	38 (76.0)
n (%)	Cycle 3	6 (60.0)	29 (54.7)	32 (64.0)
	Cycle 4	1 (100.0)	21 (50.0)	31 (63.3)
	Cycle 1	14 (18.9)	4 (6.3)	2 (4.0)
Serious TEAEs,	Cycle 2	7 (18.4)	0	2 (4.0)
n (%)	Cycle 3	1 (10.0)	3 (5.7)	1 (2.0)
	Cycle 4	0	3 (7.1)	2 (4.1)
	Cycle 1	17 (23.0)	3 (4.7)	3 (6.0)
Severe TEAEs,	Cycle 2	6 (15.8)	1 (1.8)	2 (4.0)
n (%)	Cycle 3	1 (10.0)	3 (5.7)	2 (4.0)
	Cycle 4	0	4 (9.5)	4 (8.2)

nX, number of patients in Cycle X.

Blood Products Organization and UCB. Julian Grosskreutz has served as a Consultant for Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Biogen and UCB, and his institution has received research support from the Boris Canessa Foundation. Sabrina Sacconi has nothing to disclose. John Vissing has been a Consultant on advisory boards for Amicus Therapeutics, Arvinas, Biogen, Fulcrum Therapeutics, Genethon, Horizon Therapeutics (now Amgen), Lupin, ML Biopharma, Novartis, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme (now Sanofi), Sarepta Therapeutics and UCB. He has received research, travel support and/or speaker honoraria from Alexion Pharmaceuticals, argenx, Biogen, Edgewise Therapeutics, Fulcrum Therapeutics, Lupin, Sanofi Genzyme (now Sanofi) and UCB. He is a Principal Investigator in clinical trials for Alexion Pharmaceuticals, argenx, Genethon, Horizon Therapeutics (now Amgen), Janssen Pharmaceuticals (now Johnson & Johnson Innovative Medicine), ML Biopharma, Novartis, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme (now Sanofi) and UCB. Marion Boehnlein, Fiona Grimson, Irene Pulido-Valdeolivas and Thaïs Tarancón are employees and shareholders of UCB. Vera Bril is a Consultant for Akcea, Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Alnylam, argenx, CSL, Grifols, Ionis, Immunovant, Janssen Pharmaceuticals (now Johnson & Johnson Innovative Medicine), Momenta (now Johnson & Johnson), Novo Nordisk, Octapharma, Pfizer, Powell Mansfield, Roche, Sanofi, Takeda Pharmaceuticals and UCB. She has received research support from Akcea, Alexion Pharmaceuticals, argenx, CSL, Grifols, Immunovant, Ionis, Momenta (now

Johnson & Johnson), Octapharma, Takeda Pharmaceuticals, UCB and Viela Bio (now Amgen). References: 1. Bril V, et al. Lancet Neurol. 2023;22(5):383–394. 2. Rozanolixizumab US PI. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/761286s000lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2024. 3. Bril V, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of

symptom-driven cyclical rozanolixizumab treatment in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis: a pooled analysis of a Phase 3 study and two open-label extension studies [poster]. AAN 2023. Poster P1-5-012. 4. Vissing J, et al. Rozanolixizumab responder and minimal symptom expression rates in generalised MG: Pooled Phase 3 and extension studies [poster]. EAN 2023. Poster EPO-412. 5. Vu T, et al. Long-term safety of repeated cycles of rozanolixizumab treatment in patients with generalized myasthenia gravis [poster]. AANEM 2023. Poster 269.



Please use this QR code to download a PDF of the poster