

Improving the developmental and epileptic encephalopathy diagnostic process: Recommendations to address the challenges

Nerses Bebek¹
 Juan Carlos García Beristain²
 Leticia Pereira de Brito Sampaio³
 Alexandra M. Johnson⁴
 Lieven Lagae⁵
 Kam Tim Liu⁶
 Kate Riney⁷
 Matilde Ruiz García⁸
 Hasan Tekgül⁹
 Meng-Han Tsai¹⁰
 Shimrit Ulriel-Sibony¹¹

1. Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Department of Neurology, Istanbul, Türkiye
 2. Children's Hospital of Mexico Federico Gómez, Department of Neurology, Mexico City, Mexico
 3. Clinical Hospital of the Faculty of Medicine of the University of São Paulo, Child Neurology Department, São Paulo, Brazil
 4. Sydney Children's Hospital, Randwick, Australia
 5. University Hospital Leuven, Department of Pediatric Neurology, Leuven, Belgium
 6. Gleneagles Hospital Hong Kong, Department of Pediatrics, Wong Chuk Hang, Hong Kong
 7. Queensland Children's Hospital, Neurosciences Unit, Brisbane, Australia
 8. National Institute of Pediatrics, Pediatric Neurology Service, Mexico City, Mexico
 9. Ege University Hospital, Ege Children's Hospital, Izmir, Türkiye
 10. Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Department of Neurology, Kaohsiung, Taiwan
 11. Dana-Dwek Children's Hospital, Pediatric Neurology Unit, Tel Aviv, Israel



Background

- Developmental and epileptic encephalopathies (DEEs) encompass a group of severe diseases, typically beginning in early childhood.^{1,2}
- DEEs are characterised not only by frequent and generally pharmacoresistant seizures but also by developmental impairment, in which both the underlying aetiology and the epileptic activity itself contribute to the neurodevelopmental disability.^{1,2}
- Delayed diagnosis of DEEs contributes to suboptimal treatment, which may further worsen prognosis; therefore, improving diagnostic efficiency is a clinical priority globally.¹⁻³

Objective

- To develop practical recommendations and considerations to support early diagnosis of DEEs by analysing the diagnostic process for DEEs worldwide.

Methods

- Paediatric and adult neurologists with expertise in DEEs took part in an international Expert Input Forum in August 2025.
- Participants independently identified diagnostic barriers, as well as associated concerns, which were discussed in workshops to prioritise cross-regional challenges and opportunity.
- Thematic analysis was applied to derive globally relevant recommendations/considerations.

Results

IDENTIFIED CHALLENGES

- Participants (N=11) from Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Hong Kong, Israel, Mexico, Taiwan and Türkiye prioritised 11 challenges (Table 1).
- The identified challenges fell into three themes:
 - 1. Diagnostic and aetiological complexity** – encompassing the fundamental difficulties in accurately identifying DEEs, distinguishing them from other conditions, understanding their genetic and metabolic underpinnings and predicting their trajectory.
 - 2. Gaps in comprehensive management and care standardisation** – focusing on challenges in providing appropriate and early treatment access and managing non-seizure symptoms, as well as the lack of consistent, evidence-based protocols.
 - 3. Patient and care pathway continuity challenges** – focusing on issues related to effective communication with caregivers and ensuring continuity of care across different life stages.

Table 1 Prioritised challenges (N=11) in early diagnosis of DEEs and associated themes

Diagnostic and aetiological complexity (n=5)	Gaps in comprehensive management and care standardisation (n=4)	Patient and care pathway continuity challenges (n=2)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recognition and referral to specialised epilepsy centres by primary care physicians (e.g. GPs and paediatricians) and general neurologists • Difficulties in differentiating between DEEs and other disorders • Unpredictability of outcomes (correlation between genetics and phenotype) • Lack of understanding of genetics, including testing and interpretation, and lack of standardisation • Lack of consideration of metabolic syndromes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poorly defined non-seizure symptoms and lack of assessment (limited time in consultations) • Lack of evidence or guidance for co-management of non-seizure symptoms • Lack of guidelines/screening/protocols • Lack of access to appropriate early treatment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication to caregivers to educate on and manage expectations • Transition between paediatric and adult care and DEE diagnosis among adults

DEE, developmental and epileptic encephalopathy; GP, general practitioner.

RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONSIDERATIONS

- Based on the identified challenges, the experts proposed a number of short- and long-term actionable recommendations/considerations (Table 2).
- Short-term recommendations/considerations included broad education to improve early recognition of DEEs and facilitate aetiology-specific diagnosis with genetic testing, education on transition to adult care and additional support for caregivers.
- Long-term recommendations/considerations included increased research on disease outcomes, impact of early treatment interventions and ways to manage non-seizure symptoms, as well as data generation to facilitate development of evidence-based guidelines and screening tools.

Conclusions

The recommendations and considerations developed by this international Expert Input Forum underscore the urgent need for expanded research, enhanced education and structured diagnostic work-up to improve and accelerate DEE diagnostic pathways globally and optimise the management of patients and their caregivers.

Acknowledgements and disclosures: The international Expert Input Forum meeting was organised and funded by UCB; UCB was also involved in the review of the poster. The authors acknowledge Vincent Laporte, PhD (UCB, Brussels, Belgium) for managing the development of the poster, and Rebecca Phillips, BSc. (Ogilvy Health, London, UK) for writing assistance, which was funded by UCB. All authors critically reviewed the poster and approved the final version for presentation. Nerses Bebek, Juan Carlos García Beristain, Leticia Pereira de Brito Sampaio, Kam Tim Liu, Matilde Ruiz García, Hasan Tekgül, Meng-Han Tsai and Shimrit Ulriel-Sibony have nothing to disclose. Alexandra M. Johnson has received honoraria for consultancy work from BioMarin, Chiesi, Neuren Pharmaceuticals, PTC Therapeutics and UCB. She has been involved in clinical trials for Ionis, Jazz Pharmaceuticals/GW Pharmaceuticals, Lundbeck/Longboard Pharmaceuticals, Neuren Pharmaceuticals, Takeda and UCB. Lieven Lagae has received grants and consultant and/or speaker fees from Eisai, Epihunter, LivaNova, NEL, Novartis, Shire, Takeda/Ovid, UCB and Zogenix (now a part of UCB). Kate Riney has received honoraria for educational symposia, advisory boards and/or consultancy work from Eisai, LivaNova, Medlink Neurology, Novartis and UCB. Her institution has supported clinical trials for Biogen Idec Research Ltd, DSLP, Eisai, Epigenyx, GW Research Ltd, Janssen-Cilag, LivaNova, Marinus, Medicure International, Neurocrine Biosciences, Noema Pharma, Novartis, SK Lifesciences, UCB and Zogenix (now part of UCB).

Table 2 Actionable recommendations and considerations to address identified challenges associated with early diagnosis of DEEs

Short-term actionable recommendations/considerations	Long-term actionable recommendations/considerations
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Educational resources for GPs and paediatricians in both primary care and neurology are needed to improve early recognition and referral • Resources for caregivers should be developed to communicate effectively and manage expectations about the diagnosis and prognosis • Dissemination of the ILAE definitions and classifications of epilepsy syndromes would increase knowledge/understanding of DEEs and facilitate differentiation from other disorders • Training of adult neurologists is essential to support the transition from paediatric to adult care and DEE diagnosis among adults • Training on genetics for neurologists, paediatricians, primary healthcare workers and medical students would improve and standardise understanding of genetics, including testing and interpretation • Education is needed to support the inclusion of metabolic screening in standard diagnostic work-up 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Advanced research and amplified data generation would help to mitigate the unpredictability of disease outcomes • A multidisciplinary approach and more research are needed to define non-seizure symptoms; the standard of care should include the assessment of non-seizure symptoms and QoL, with the development of disease-/syndrome-specific QoL assessments • Clear scientific evidence is needed for the development of guidelines, protocols and screening tools • Research is needed to understand the benefits and harms of early interventional treatment

DEE, developmental and epileptic encephalopathy; GP, general practitioner; ILAE, International League Against Epilepsy; QoL, quality of life.

References

- Surdi P, et al. *Epilepsia* 2024;65:3279-3292.
- Raga S, et al. *Epileptic Disord* 2021;23:40-52.
- García-Bravo C, et al. *Ann Med* 2025;57:2446702.

For a copy of this poster, contact UCBcares®
 Phone: +1-844-599-CARE (2273)
 Email: UCBcares@ucb.com